## AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 21, 2003 AMENDED IN SENATE MARCH 25, 2003 AMENDED IN SENATE MARCH 17, 2003

SENATE BILL

No. 219

## Introduced by Senator Romero (Coauthor: Senator Vasconcellos) (Coauthors: Senators Soto and Vasconcellos)

(Coauthor: Assembly Member Chavez)

February 13, 2003

An act to amend Section 17004.7 of the Vehicle Code, relating to vehicles.

## LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 219, as amended, Romero. Public agency: liability: immunity. Under existing law, a public agency employing peace officers that adopts a written policy on vehicular pursuits complying with specific standards is immune from liability for civil damages for personal injury to, or the death of, any person or damage to property resulting from the collision of a vehicle being operated by an actual or suspected violator of the law who is being, has been, or believes he or she is, or has been, pursued by a peace officer employed by the public entity in a motor vehicle.

This bill would also require, as a condition to the application of the civil immunity, that the public agency implement the written policy described above. The provide that in a civil action for damages other than by the driver, or his or her accomplices, the civil immunity would only apply if a finding is made that the involved peace officer was complying with the public agency's adopted, and implemented written

SB 219 — 2 —

3

5

8

9

11 12

13

17

18

19

2223

24

25

policy on vehicular pursuits, as. The bill would provide that the finding is a question of fact for the trier of fact. However, the bill would also provide that the civil immunity would apply in an action brought by the person who was operating the motor vehicle being pursued, if at the time of the collision the public agency had adopted the written policy, regardless of whether that policy was implemented.

The bill would provide that nothing contained in these provisions affects the application of civil immunity provided to public employees under a related provision of existing law.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

- 1 SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares the 2 following:
  - (a) Recent gruesome motor vehicle accidents involving police pursuits in which very young children are innocent victims, and appalling statistics regarding police pursuits throughout the nation and especially in California, have generated extensive publicity and intense public concern.
  - (b) According to the California Highway Patrol, there were 5,334 police pursuits in the state in the year 2000 and 21 percent of those pursuits resulted in collisions. Ten percent of the collisions caused significant injuries and 16 resulted in death.
  - (c) Los Angeles leads the nation in dangerous police pursuits, and their number increased by more than 30 percent between the years 2000 and 2001. In 2001, there were 781 police pursuits, the equivalent to more than two per day, with 139 of those pursuits involving injuries and six causing death. Sixty percent of the police pursuits initiated by the Los Angeles Police Department involved minor traffic violations, including missing license plates or broken tail lights.
- SEC. 2. Section 17004.7 of the Vehicle Code is amended to read:
  - 17004.7. (a) The immunity provided by this section is in addition to any other immunity provided by law. The adoption of a policy by a public agency pursuant to this section is discretionary.
  - (b) (1) A public agency employing peace officers that adopts and implements a written policy on vehicular pursuits complying

\_\_ 3 \_\_ SB 219

with subdivision (c) is immune from liability for civil damages for personal injury to, or the death of, any person or damage to property resulting from the collision of a vehicle that is operated by an actual or suspected violator of the law who is, has been, or believes he or she is, or has been, pursued by a peace officer employed by the public entity in a motor vehicle.

## (2) Except as provided in paragraph (3), the immunity

- (2) In a civil action for damages brought by a person, other than the driver of the pursued vehicle or his or her accomplice, the immunity provided by paragraph (1) applies only if a finding is made pursuant to subdivision (e) that the involved peace officer in a vehicular pursuit complied with the public agency's adopted and implemented, written policy on vehicular pursuits.
- (3) The immunity provided by paragraph (1) applies with regard to actions for civil damages brought by the person who was operating the motor vehicle being pursued, if at the time of the collision the public agency had adopted a policy that complies with subdivision (e), regardless of whether that policy had been implemented.
- (c) If the public entity has adopted a policy for the safe conduct of vehicular pursuits by peace officers, it shall meet all of the following minimum standards:
- (1) It provides that, if available, there be supervisory control of the pursuit.
- (2) It provides procedures for designating the primary pursuit vehicle and for determining the total number of vehicles to be permitted to participate at one time in the pursuit.
- (3) It provides procedures for coordinating operations with other jurisdictions.
- (4) It provides guidelines for determining when the interests of public safety and effective law enforcement justify a vehicular pursuit and when a vehicular pursuit should not be initiated or should be terminated.
- (d) A determination of whether a policy adopted pursuant to subdivision (c) complies with that subdivision is a question of law for the court.
- (e) A finding of whether a peace officer in a vehicular pursuit complied with the public agency's adopted *and implemented*, written policy on vehicular pursuits is a question of fact for the trier of fact.

SB 219 **—4** —

- 1 (f) Nothing in this section affects the application of civil 2 immunity provided a public employee under Section 17004.